

Journal Pre-proof



Mortality comparisons of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta: lessons learned and forward planning

Sarah Cuschieri, Elena Pallari, Amalia Hatziyianni, Rannveig Sigurvinsdottir, Inga Dora Sigfusdottir, Árun Kristín Sigurðardóttir

PII: S0033-3506(21)00135-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.03.025>

Reference: PUHE 4231

To appear in: *Public Health*

Received Date: 18 January 2021

Accepted Date: 22 March 2021

Please cite this article as: Cuschieri S, Pallari E, Hatziyianni A, Sigurvinsdottir R, Sigfusdottir ID, Sigurðardóttir ÁK, Mortality comparisons of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta: lessons learned and forward planning, *Public Health*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.03.025>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Mortality comparisons of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta: lessons learned and forward planning

Running title:

Comparing mortality of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases

Sarah Cuschieri^{*1}, Elena Pallari², Amalia Hatziyianni³, Rannveig Sigurvinsdottir⁴, Inga Dora Sigfusdottir^{4,5}, Árún Kristín Sigurðardóttir^{6,7}

*Corresponding author

^{1*}Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Malta, Msida, Malta

Email: sarah.cuschieri@um.edu.mt

²University College London, MRC Clinical Trials and Methodology Unit, London, England

Email: e.pallari@ucl.ac.uk

³ Ammochostos General Hospital, 25 Christou Kkeli, 5310, Paralimni, Cyprus

Email: hatziyanniamalia@gmail.com

⁴Department of Psychology, Reykjavik University, Reykjavik, Iceland

Email: rannveigs@ru.is

⁵ Teacher's College, Columbia University, New York, NY USA

Email: ingadora@ru.is

⁶School of Health Science, University of Akureyri, Sólborg, Iceland

⁷Akureyri Hospital, Akureyri, Iceland

Email: arun@unak.is

Mortality comparisons of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta: lessons learned and forward planning

Abstract

Objectives

The COVID-19 pandemic has spread throughout the world, including Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. Considering the small population sizes of these three island countries, it was anticipated that COVID-19 would be adequately contained, and mortality would be low. This study aims to compare and contrast COVID-19 mortality with mortality from all-causes and common non-communicable diseases (NCDs) over 8 months between these three islands.

Study design

Methods

Data were obtained from the Ministry of Health websites and COVID dashboards from Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. The case-fatality ratio (CFR) and years of life lost (YLLs) were calculated. Comparisons were made between the reported cases, deaths, CFR, YLLs, swabbing rates, restrictions and mitigation measures.

Results

Low COVID-19 case numbers and mortality rates were observed during the first wave and transition period in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. The second wave saw a drastic increase in the number of confirmed cases and mortality rates, especially for Malta, with high CFR and YLLs. Similar restrictions and measures were evident across the three island countries. Results show that COVID-19 mortality was generally lower than mortality from NCDs.

Conclusions

The study highlights that small geographical and population size, along with similar restrictive measures, did not appear to have an advantage against the spread and mortality rate of COVID-19, especially during the second wave. Population density, an ageing population and social behaviours may play a role in the burden of COVID-19. It is recommended that a country-specific syndemic approach is used to deal with the local COVID-19 spread based on the population's characteristics, behaviours and the presence of other pre-existing epidemics.

Keywords

Coronavirus; Mortality; Case Fatality Rate; Non-communicable Disease; Islands; Syndemic; Cyprus; Iceland; Malta

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic spread across the European continent in early 2020, affecting every country in Europe, including the islands of Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. The Republic of Cyprus and Malta are situated within the Mediterranean Sea, while Iceland is situated between the North Atlantic and Arctic Oceans. The three islands share similar population characteristics, including a total population of <1 million (Cyprus 875,900; Malta 514,564; and Iceland 364,134) and similar life expectancy among males (Cyprus 78.5 years; Malta 78.9 years; and Iceland 79.8 years).

Of these three countries, Iceland reported the first COVID-19 case on the 28th February 2020, followed by Malta (7th March 2020) and Cyprus (9th March 2020) ¹. Similar to other countries, their governments implemented a number of restrictions to curb the viral spread during the first wave and these were subsequently reintroduced in late summer when the second wave prevailed ¹. It was hypothesised that the small geographical and population sizes of these three islands would be an advantage, in addition to the implementation of COVID-19 measures, to curb the viral spread and keep mortality rate low. This study aims to compare and contrast COVID-19 mortality with mortality from all-causes and common non-communicable diseases (NCDs) over 8 months (March to November 2020) between the three small islands of Cyprus, Iceland and Malta.

Methods

Data were obtained from the Ministry of Health websites and COVID-19 dashboards from Cyprus, Iceland and Malta, in addition to local published studies. Comparisons were made

between the reported cases, deaths, swabbing rates, restrictions and mitigation strategies. No distinction was made between individuals dying ‘with’ COVID-19 and individuals dying ‘due to’ COVID-19 as a result of lack of such data from Iceland and Malta.

The reported COVID-19 positive cases and deaths were subdivided into three phases: (1) the first wave (6th March to 7th May); (2) the transitional period (8th May to 13th August); and (3) the second wave (14th August to 30th November). The case-fatality ratio (CFR) for each COVID-19 phase was calculated by subdividing the confirmed number of positive cases by the confirmed number of deaths and then multiplying by 100².

Years of life lost (YLL) is a metric used in population health to measure the number of years lost due to premature death from a particular cause. This metric is calculated by identifying the number of deaths in an age group and multiplying it by a standard life expectancy for that particular age group. The World Health Organisation life expectancy age groups tables for each country were used for this analysis³. The number of deaths by age groups and gender were obtained from the COVID-19 dashboards of each island. The COVID-19 YLLs for each island (Cyprus, Iceland and Malta) were compared to the YLLs of common non-communicable diseases (NCDs [cardiovascular disease, stroke, chronic respiratory disease, diabetes mellitus]) and road traffic injuries, as reported by the Global Burden of Disease for the year 2019⁴.

Results

Covid-19 situation in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta

From the onset of the pandemic until the end of November 2020, Cyprus reported 10,583 positive COVID-19 cases (1206 per 100,000), Iceland reported 5027 positive cases (1381 per 100,000) and Malta reported 9877 positive cases (1919 per 100,000)⁵⁻⁷. Over the study period of 8 months, the daily average number of new COVID-19 cases was 223 for Cyprus, 14 for Iceland and 117 for Malta. During the first wave (March-May 2020), Iceland reported the highest number of positive cases, as shown in Figure 1. However, of the three islands, Malta entered the second wave earlier and reported the highest number of positive cases^{1,8}. In mid-October, Cyprus reported a spike in cases, exceeding the number of positive cases reported by Iceland and Malta (Figure 1). Concurrently, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) COVID-19 swabbing test rate increased over time across the three islands (see Figure 2), with Cyprus reaching 70,714.35 per 100,000 PCR swabs by end of November, while Iceland recorded 107,478.84 per 100,000 and Malta 83,542.54 per 100,000⁵⁻⁷.

Covid-19 mortality in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta

A synergistic relationship between the number of COVID-19-positive cases and mortality rate was expected as a result of the high infectivity rate and morbidity related to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Of these three islands, Malta reported the highest rate of COVID-19 mortality since the onset of the pandemic with 27.31 per 100,000 population, followed by Iceland with 7.14 per 100,000 population and Cyprus with 6.39 per 100,000 population. On subdividing the 8-month study period of the pandemic into three phases, as shown in Figure 3, it can be seen that the islands experienced a higher mortality rate during the second wave, which coincides with higher community spread and identified cases. All three islands reported the spread of COVID-19 within nursing homes, especially during the second wave^{6,8,9}. Indeed, Iceland reported extensive COVID-19 spread

among the geriatric department of Landakot hospital, as well within nursing homes and a rehabilitation centre ¹⁰.

CFR was measured to assess the impact of the pandemic on the mortality of the population. Interestingly, even though the second wave showed a higher mortality rate for all three islands, the CFR for Malta exceeded the other islands. Indeed, on assessing mortality from COVID-19 as a fraction of the reported all-cause mortality for 2019 for each country, mortality from COVID-19 represented 3.73% of all-cause mortality in Malta, 1.23% in Iceland and 0.64% in Cyprus ⁴.

As shown in figure 4, when stratification was performed by age and gender, the highest mortality occurrences were seen in men and the elderly (>85 years) across the three islands.

COVID-19 mortality vs mortality from common non-communicable diseases and injuries

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) have been reported to be responsible for a substantial burden of disease, including increases in years-lived with disability (YLDs) and years of life lost (YLLs) ¹¹. Comparisons were made between COVID-19 and common NCDs mortality (per 100,000 population) and YLLs among the populations of Cyprus, Iceland and Malta, as shown in Table 1. COVID-19 mortality and YLLs were calculated for the study period of 8 months, whereas NCD and injury mortality and YLLs were calculated for the year 2019. However, it is still evident that COVID-19 has resulted in substantial burden for each of the three island countries, especially Malta. In fact, in Malta, COVID-19 had a higher mortality rate (per 100,000) than road traffic accidents and diabetes mellitus. A similar picture was observed in Iceland; however, in Cyprus, COVID-19 appeared to have a lower disease

burden than common NCDs and injuries (up to the end of the study period [November 2020]).

COVID-19 mortality and restrictive measures

The first wave of COVID-19 resulted in the implementation of a number of extreme restrictive measures, including closure of airports and ports (Cyprus and Malta), closure of non-essential retail, bars, restaurants, schools and restricting the number of people in one gathering (Cyprus, Iceland and Malta). Cyprus also restricted free movement of the population to only a daily trip¹. These measures appear to have been effective as the number of COVID-19 cases and mortality rate remained low (see Figures 1 and 3). Indeed, this led to the slow easing of restrictive measures, while keeping the case numbers and mortality low¹. However, by mid-August, COVID-19 case numbers began to increase again all over Europe, including across the three islands. A distinct spike in positive COVID-19 cases was observed in Malta compared with Cyprus and Iceland, especially after the re-opening of schools towards the end of September and beginning of October, with a consequential high mortality rate (Figure 3). A stepwise control restriction was reintroduced, including a reduction in the number of people attending gatherings, compulsory mask wearing indoors and outdoors, and restrictions in non-essential services. Supplement Table S1 provides a list of the different restrictions and measures implemented at the onset of the second wave by Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. Although restrictions were put in place, a substantial mortality rate was still evident, especially for Malta and later on for Cyprus.

Discussion

Small islands are considered to be at an advantage when controlling infectious diseases due to their small population and geographical sizes. In small islands, containment measures at a

population level are anticipated to be easier to implement, thus more efficiently limiting the viral spread than larger countries. The absence of land borders further enabled the successful implementation of containment measures. These advantages played a role during the first wave of COVID-19 and the transition period, where Cyprus, Iceland and Malta had relatively low numbers of positive COVID-19 cases and subsequently low mortality rates when compared with larger neighbouring countries ^{1,12-14}. Of these three small islands, Malta was predominantly in a better containment scenario (not mortality), albeit with their similar restrictions and measures ^{1,15}.

However, hasty relaxation of restrictions, opening of the airport and ports, in addition to the organisation of large mass events led to the downfall of the stable COVID-19 situation in Malta, giving rise to the second wave ⁸. Cyprus and Iceland also experienced an increase in positive COVID-19 cases around late summertime. However, a steeper incline in cases along with spikes in mortality occurred in Malta. This study showed that, similar to other countries, these small islands showed a higher mortality among the elderly population, particularly among men ¹⁶. Despite similar reintroduction of restrictions at the onset of the second wave in the three islands, the COVID-19 burden in Malta predominated with a higher CFR than Cyprus and Iceland. Such CFR differences have been attributed to differences in patient characteristics, prevalence of diagnostic testing and healthcare system availability ¹⁷. However, Cyprus and Malta have been reported to have similar patient metabolic characteristics and healthcare system preparedness for COVID-19 ^{1,18-20}.

The PCR testing strategy in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta has been very similar ¹. However, Iceland reported the highest prevalence of testing rate, followed by Malta and Cyprus. Furthermore, a spread within nursing homes was reported in all islands ^{6,8,9}, which has been anticipated to have detrimental impacts on these elderly populations with subsequential high

mortality²¹. Indeed, the majority of COVID-19 mortality was among the elderly population in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta.

Considering the similar COVID-19 public health approaches, small populations and geographical aspects shared by Cyprus, Iceland and Malta, the results of this study indicate that other predisposing factors might be contributing to the discrepancy in mortality rates in Malta. Potential factors are a higher ageing population in Malta than Cyprus and Iceland,^{4,22} thus increasing susceptibility to COVID-19 morbidity and mortality²³. Another potential factor could be the social behaviours and attitudes of the populations. The population density of each country may have had an effect on the containment measures and viral spread. Malta's population density is much higher (1380 individuals per km²) than Cyprus (3.31 individuals per km²) and Iceland (131 individuals per km²). In fact, countries with low population densities, such as Finland and Norway, have exhibited superior viral containment, which has also been influenced by the timely implementation of measures, and compliance and trust within the population²⁴.

Notwithstanding the negative COVID-19 pandemic implications on the mortality rate, mental health and wellbeing, along with the burden on the healthcare systems and economy, the COVID-19 mortality burden (for 8 months) only contributed to a small proportion of the all-cause mortality in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. Furthermore, the COVID-19 mortality burden was substantially lower than mortality from common NCDs and injuries (with some exceptions). Of note, individuals with NCDs who had COVID-19 were more likely to experience severe infection, leading to higher morbidity and mortality²⁵. However, it appeared that the burden of COVID-19 mortality did not exceed the typical annual NCDs

burden. This highlights the importance of implementing a syndemic approach, where healthcare systems and policies target both COVID-19 and NCDs simultaneously²⁶.

A number of limitations need to be acknowledged for this study. COVID-19 data and analyses were limited to the available data from online sources, such as dashboards, platforms and other ministerial sites. Mortality data identifying individuals dying ‘with’ COVID-19 as opposed to dying ‘due to’ COVID-19 were available for Cyprus, but not for Iceland and Malta. Furthermore, it is expected that a proportion of COVID-19 mortality has been unreported, as individuals may die without officially being identified as COVID-19 positive. This may impact the analyses and conclusions of this study. Another limitation is the lack of data on confounding factors that might have had an impact on mortality rates. All-cause mortality, mortality attributed to NCDs and YLLs were reported for the year 2019, while the COVID-19 mortality and YLLs were calculated based on a period of 8 months. These comparisons provide a general overview of the effect of COVID-19 within the study period. However, conclusive comparisons could not be achieved, especially as the pandemic is ongoing and variables, including the rate of spread, restriction measures and epidemiological data, rapidly change.

Conclusion

The spread of the COVID-19 pandemic exhibits no boundaries and has affected all countries across the world, including the small islands of Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. The current study highlights that small geographical and population sizes, along with similar restrictive public health measures, did not have an advantage against the viral spread and mortality rate, especially during the second wave in Malta. Population density, an ageing population and social behaviours may play a role in the burden of COVID-19. However, the COVID-19

burden appeared to have less impact than common NCDs and injuries on the population. It is therefore recommended that a country-specific syndemic approach should be implemented to mitigate the local spread of COVID-19, taking into account population characteristics, behaviours and other pre-existing epidemics.

Author statements

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ms Margarita Kyriakou, Press Officer of the Ministry of Health, for the provision and validation of the provided data for Cyprus.

Ethical approval

Not required.

Funding

None declared.

Competing interests

None declared.

References

1. Cuschieri S, Pallari E, Hatziyiann A, Sigurvinsdottir R, Sigfusdottir ID, Sigurðardóttir ÁK. Dealing with COVID-19 in small European island states: Cyprus, Iceland and Malta. *Early Hum Dev* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 12 [cited 2020 Nov 22];105261. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33213965>
2. World Health Organization (WHO). Estimating mortality from COVID-19. *Scientific Brief*. 2020.
3. Global Health Observatory data repository. Life tables by country [Internet]. World Health Organization (WHO). World Health Organization; 2018 [cited 2020 Dec 12]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.LT62060?lang=en>
4. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). *Global Health Data Exchange*. Seattle; 2019.
5. University of Cyprus. COVID-19 Spread in Cyprus [Internet]. University of Cyprus Research and Innovation Center of Excellence. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 22]. Available from: <https://covid19.ucy.ac.cy/>
6. The Directorate of Health, The Department of Civil Protection and Emergency Management. COVID-19 in Iceland [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 23]. Available from: <https://www.covid.is/data>
7. COVID-19 Public Health Response Team - Ministry for Health. *COVID-19 Data Management System*. 2020.
8. Cuschieri S, Balzan M, Gauci C, Aguis S, Grech V. Mass Events Trigger Malta's Second Peak After Initial Successful Pandemic Suppression. *J Community Health* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 16 [cited 2020 Sep 17];1–8. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10900-020-00925-6>
9. Financial Mirror. COVID19: 35 people test positive at Limassol nursing home - Financial Mirror [Internet]. Financial Mirror. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 11]. Available from: <https://www.financialmirror.com/2020/11/02/covid19-35-people-test-positive-at-limassol-nursing-home/>
10. Hafstao V. Cluster of COVID-19 Infections Puts Landspítali on High Alert - Iceland Monitor [Internet]. Iceland monitor. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 30]. Available from: https://icelandmonitor.mbl.is/news/news/2020/10/26/cluster_of_covid_19_infections_puts_landspitali_on/
11. Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi M, Abbasifard M, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 17 [cited 2020 Nov 22];396(10258):1204–22. Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)30925-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)30925-9/fulltext)
12. Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next? *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet]. 2020 Apr 11 [cited 2020 Jul 25];395(10231):1225–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32178769>
13. Nurchis MC, Pascucci D, Sapienza M, Villani L, D'Ambrosio F, Castrini F, et al. Impact of the Burden of COVID-19 in Italy: Results of Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) and Productivity Loss. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 18];17(12). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32545827>
14. Flynn D, Moloney E, Bhattarai N, Scott J, Breckons M, Avery L, et al. COVID-19 pandemic in the United Kingdom. *Heal Policy Technol* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2020 Dec 14];9(4):673–91. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211883720300757>

15. Cuschieri S. COVID-19 panic, solidarity and equity—the Malta exemplary experience. *J Public Health (Bangkok)* [Internet]. 2020 May 30 [cited 2020 Jun 3];1–6. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10389-020-01308-w>
16. Islam N, Khunti K, Dambha-Miller H, Kawachi I, Marmot M. COVID-19 mortality: a complex interplay of sex, gender and ethnicity. *Eur J Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 1 [cited 2020 Oct 10];30(5):847–8. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/eurpub/article/30/5/847/5879989>
17. Kang S-J, Jung SI. Age-Related Morbidity and Mortality among Patients with COVID-19. *Infect Chemother* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2020 Dec 14];52(2):154. Available from: <https://icjournal.org/DOIx.php?id=10.3947/ic.2020.52.2.154>
18. V G, S C, P G, IK F, C G. One of COVID-19's many costs: Malta's expenditure in consumables and non-consumables, a population-based study. *Early Hum Dev* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 14]; Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33223125/>
19. Cuschieri S, Falzon C, Janulova L, Aguis S, Busuttill W, Psaila N, et al. Malta's only acute public hospital service during COVID-19: a diary of events from the first wave to transition phase. *Int J Qual Heal Care* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 12 [cited 2020 Dec 15]; Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/intqhc/advance-article/doi/10.1093/intqhc/mzaa138/6033548>
20. Cuschieri S, Pallari E, Terzic N, Alkerwi A, Sigurðardóttir ÁK. Mapping the burden of diabetes in five small countries in Europe and setting the agenda for health policy and strategic action. *Heal Res Policy Syst*. 2021;In press.
21. Signorelli C, Odone A. Age-specific COVID-19 case-fatality rate: no evidence of changes over time. *Int J Public Health* [Internet]. [cited 2020 Dec 14];1. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7518649/>
22. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Malta profile [Internet]. Seattle, WA: IHME, University of Washington. 2019 [cited 2020 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://www.healthdata.org/malta>
23. De Laroche Lambert Q, Marc A, Antero J, Le Bourg E, Toussaint J-F. Covid-19 Mortality: A Matter of Vulnerability Among Nations Facing Limited Margins of Adaptation. *Front Public Heal* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 19 [cited 2020 Dec 14];8:782. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2020.604339/full>
24. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Health at a Glance: Europe 2020 STATE OF HEALTH IN THE EU CYCLE [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 15]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1787/82129230-en>.
25. Cuschieri S, Grech V. COVID-19 is ageist, sexist, ruthless, dispassionate and opportunistic – Protecting our vulnerable. *Early Hum Dev*. 2020;
26. Horton R. Offline: COVID-19 is not a pandemic. *Lancet (London, England)* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 26 [cited 2020 Nov 14];396(10255):874. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32979964>




Figure 1. COVID-19-positive cases: 7-day moving average for Cyprus, Iceland and Malta between March and November 2020⁵⁻⁷




Figure 2. COVID-19 average PCR swabbing rate for Cyprus, Iceland and Malta between March and November 2020⁵⁻⁷. PCR, polymerase chain reaction.




Figure 3. COVID-19 mortality cases and CFR in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta between March and November 2020. CFR, case-fatality ratio.




Figure 4. COVID-19 mortality by age groups and gender in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta between March and November 2020.

Mortality Causes	Cyprus		Iceland		Malta	
	Mortality per 100,000	YLLs	Mortality per 100,000	YLLs	Mortality per 100,000	YLLs
COVID-19 ^b	6.39	684.33	7.14	273.40	27.40	1558.80
Cardiovascular disease	355.34	48,033.21	198.75	9200.09	289.77	20,557.96
Stroke	85.11	10,176.77	40.76	1774.29	63.96	4281.98
Chronic respiratory disease	61.78	7417.58	30.43	1502.00	30.56	2282.47
Diabetes mellitus	56.00	7086.12	7.13	392.40	23.98	1889.28
Road traffic injuries	15.27	5705.54	3.11	486.51	2.89	649.42

a Mortality per 100,000 and YLLs based on Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (excl. COVID-19).

b Mortality over 8 months (March to November 2020).

Table 1. Comparison between COVID-19 and common non-communicable disease mortality (per 100,000) and YLL in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta.^a YLLs, years of life lost

Journal Pre-proof

Tweet

Does small geographical and population size have an advantage against COVID-19 mortality rate? Here, we look at mortality comparisons of COVID-19 with all-cause and non-communicable diseases in Cyprus, Iceland and Malta.

Journal Pre-proof